3rd March 1955]

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—" கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் பதில் சொல்லும்போது பள்ளிகள் ஜூன் மாதத்தில் திறக்கப்படுவதைப்பற்றி யோசனை செய்யப்படும் என்று சொன்னார். ஆனால் ஜூன் மாதத்திற்கு முன்னால் யோசனை செய்தால்தான் ஜூன மாதத்தில் பள்ளிக்கூடம் ஆரம்பிக்கமுடியும். அப்படியிருக்கும்போது அரசாங்கம் என் இன்னும் நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கவில்லை."

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN :—" யோசிக்கப்படும் என்ற என் பதிலில் எல்லாம் அடங்கியிருக்கின்றன."

SRI A. RATNAM :—" ஏற்கெனவே சில பள்ளிகளில் புதிய கிணறுகள் வெட்டுவதற்கு உத்திரவு போட்டிருக்கிறார்கள். ஆனால் அந்த பள்ளிகளில் இருக்கும் பிள்ளைகளுக்கு கிணறு வசதியில்லாமல் இருக்கிறார்கள். ஆதலால் அரசாங்கம் அவர்களுக்கு குடிப்பதற்கு தண்ணீர் வசதி செய்து கொடுப்பார்களா ? "

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN :—" ஏற்கெனவே கிணற்றை சுத்தப்படுத்துவதற்கும், புதிய கிணறு வெட்டுவதற்கும் உத்திரவு போடப்பட்டிருக்கிறது. துரிதமாக வேலை நடந்து வருகிறது."

Rayon factory

* 1042 *Q.*—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state whether any rayon factory has been opened in the Madras State?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" No, Sir."

Panchayat Board for Kanchankollai

* 1043 *Q.*—

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member Sri K. R. Viswanathan is not in his place. The question and answer will be printed in the proceedings."

SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received any application, dated 7th March 1954, from the villagers of Kanchankollai, Chidambaram taluk, for the constitution of a new panchayat board for their village ; and

(*b*) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

THE HON. SRI S. S. RAMASAMY PADAYACHI :—" (*a*) A petition, dated 1st March 1954, on the subject was received by the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards.

" (*b*) A panchayat has since been constituted for the village with effect from 12th January 1955."

Regularization of the services of District Munsifs

* 1044 *Q.*—SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have regularized the services of District Munsifs selected in the year 1950;

[3rd March 1955

(*b*) if so, whether they have changed or altered the ranks of the Munsifs as given by the Public Service Commission and accepted by the Government;

(*c*) if the answer to clause (b) is in the affirmative, the reasons therefor; and

(*d*) whether there was any departure from the usual rules in adopting the date of posting as the date for the commencement of probation?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (*a*) The answer is in the affirmative.

" (*b*) & (*c*) The seniority of the District Munsifs' as originally fixed by the Commission at the time of selection was changed subsequently as a result of the judgment of the Supreme Court on the question of communal rotation.

" (*d*) The candidates were appointed on a purely temporary basis after their selection in 1950 in batches, pending the fixation of seniority as between candidates recruited direct and those recruited by transfer in accordance with the rule of communal rotation at the time in force. The Government considered that it would not be proper to fix the seniority of the candidates with reference to the date of their joining service as temporary District Munsifs, as temporary service would not entitle them to any preferential claim to future appointments in the service. The names were therefore re-arranged in accordance with the new rule of reservation of appointments, in consultation with the Madras Public Service Commission and the High Court."

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" Did the judgment of the High Court touch upon the seniority of persons appointed or did it merely say that those who had already been appointed be not disturbed and that for subsequent appointments those involved in the High Court judgment should be given preference? How has the seniority of those subsequently appointed been considered and promoted over those previously fixed? "

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, the judgment was with reference to the communal G.O. which was in operation then and it was held *ultra vires* of the Constitution. Therefore, in the case of all those who had been selected and already posted, we had to consider the fixation of seniority with reference to their qualifications and other factors. This was referred to the Public Service Commission and the High Court and they fixed the seniority with reference to those qualifications and not with reference to the temporary appointments made."

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN :—" Sir, may I know whether it is a fact that in that matter, full cordiality did not prevail between the High Court and the Service Commission and that the findings of the Service Commission were set aside and whether it is a fact

that most of the candidates who were originally appointed under 9 (*a*) (i) were preferred to the exclusion of so many other candidates who deserved certain posts?"

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" I want notice, Sir."

SRI S. S. KOLKEBAIL :—" Sir, the Supreme Court judgment has clearly laid down that people who had been already posted in pursuance of the recommendations made by the Public Service Commission should not be disturbed in view of their findings and may I know whether the Government has given any consideration whatsoever to the Supreme Court judgment in this respect?"

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, we have not disturbed any appointment already made. As a matter of fact, we stuck to the selection we had already made. But with reference to the fixing of seniority, temporary appointments as such did not give the incumbents any basis for claiming seniority. That is why the list was *de novo* considered and with the recommendations of the Public Service Commission and the High Court, the seniority of the various candidates were fixed."

SRI V. R. KRISHNA AYYAR :—" Have the Government changed their attitude or policy in regard to military service men who were appointed as judicial officers and are they being given their service advantages while in military service as in other departments, such as the Police, etc.?"

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" A separate question may be put, Sir."

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" When the selection was made by the Public Service Commission, appointments were made against permanent vacancies only and as such where does the question of temporary appointment arise? The only question on which the High Court judgment touched was on the principle of communal representation and not with temporary and permanent appointments."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" When these appointments are made initially, they are made on a temporary basis and later on they are fixed with reference to the various permanent vacancies. Therefore, at the time those who were appointed were given temporary postings only."

SRI S. DAIVASIGAMONEY :—" With regard to temporary appointments or direct recruitment by Public Service Commission, candidates were selected according to communal rotation or communal representation. Was it according to the reservation fixed for the backward classes or forward classes?"

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" When fixing seniority, the reservation to backward and scheduled castes was taken into consideration, but not the original communal G.O. which was in force."